

> POLITECNICO DI MILANO



Bifurcation analysis of the attitude dynamics for a magnetically controlled spacecraft

Fabio Della Rossa, Marco Bergamasco and Marco Lovera

Dipartimento di Elettronica e Informazione, Politecnico di Milano



- Spacecraft models and magnetic control
- Local stability analysis
- Bifurcation analysis
- Simulation results
- Concluding remarks and future works



- Attitude control plays a fundamental role in the operation of a satellite.
- For conventional actuators (e.g., reaction wheels, thrusters) considerable work has been done for both the local and the global control problems.
- On the other hand, while magnetic coils have been extensively used in practice, limited attention has been dedicated to the underlying theoretical issues.





Critical issues in magnetic control

Magnetic actuators are intrinsically time-varying

It is not possible to provide three independent control torques at each time instant



Attitude stabilisation is possible because **on average** the system possesses strong controllability properties for a wide range of orbit inclinations

THANKS TO: UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN – CUBESAT PROGRAM



Geomagnetic field

The Earth's magnetic field can be easily

- modelled: see, e.g., the International Geomagnetic Reference Field model;
- measured on board;



- Near polar (87° inclination);
- Altitude of 450 km;





POLITECNICO DI MILANO



The magnetic attitude control torques T_{coils} are given by: $T_{coils} = m_{coils} \times b(t) = S(b(t))m_{coils}$

where:

		ω_z	$-\omega_{c}$
$S(\omega) =$	$-\omega_z$	0	ω_x
	$\lfloor \omega_y$	$-\omega_x$	0

*m*_{coils} 2 R³ magnetic dipoles for the three current-driven coils



- $b(t) \ge R^3$ Earth magnetic field versor (body frame), given by $b(t) = A(\mathbf{q})b_0(t)$
- q is an attitude parametrization (quaternion) $q = \begin{bmatrix} q_1 & q_2 & q_3 & q_4 \end{bmatrix}^T = \begin{bmatrix} q_r^T & q_4 \end{bmatrix}^T$
- A(q) is the attitude matrix $A(\mathbf{q}) = (q_4^2 |q_r|^2)\mathbb{I}_3 + 2q_rq_r^T + 2q_4S(q_r)$
- $b_0(t)$ is the magnetic field vector in orbit coordinates



Advantages of magnetic control:

- low cost actuators;
- no moving parts (e.g., reaction wheels);
- low power consumption, no fuel (e.g., thrusters).

Limitations:

- viable only for Low Earth Orbit spacecraft (<1000 km altitude);
- it is not possible to full control the spacecraft at each time instant;
- controller analysis and design is more complicated.

The overall dynamics is given by the kinematics of the spacecraft

$$\dot{\mathbf{q}} = W(\mathbf{q})\omega \qquad \qquad W(\mathbf{q}) = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} q_4 & -q_3 & q_2 \\ q_3 & q_4 & -q_1 \\ -q_2 & q_1 & q_4 \\ -q_1 & -q_2 & -q_3 \end{bmatrix}$$

and by the attitude dynamics

$$I\dot{\omega}\!=\!S(\omega)I\omega\!+\!T_{coils}\!=\!S(\omega)I\omega\!+\!S(A(\mathbf{q})b_0(t))m_{coils}$$

Problems:

- show that the system is controllable on average;
- work out a globally stabilizing control law.



State feedback regulation

For the *projection-based* control law

$$m_{coils}^{}\!=\!-S^{T}(b(t))I^{-1}(arepsilon^{2}k_{p}^{}\mathbf{q}\!+\!arepsilon k_{v}^{}\omega)$$

using averaging techniques it is possible to obtain both results, i.e.,

the system is controllable on average if

$$\lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T S(b_0(t)) S^T(b_0(t)) dt > 0$$

• there exist $\mathcal{E}^{F} > 0$, $k_{p} > 0$, $k_{v} > 0$, such that for any $0 < \mathcal{E} < \mathcal{E}^{F}$ the control law renders the equilibrium $(q,\omega) = ([0\ 0\ 0\ 1]^T, 0)$ of the closed loop system locally exponentially stable.

moreover, all trajectories of the closed loop system converge to the points $(q,\omega) = (\{ [0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 1]^T, 0\}).$



Introduce the coordinate transformation

$$z_1 = q$$
 $z_2 = \frac{\omega}{\varepsilon}$

Set
$$\Gamma(t) = \frac{1}{\|b\|^2} S(b) S^T(b) = \frac{1}{\|b\|^2} (I - bb^T) \ge 0$$

and write the equations (in the inertial body frame) of motion as

$$\dot{z_1} = \varepsilon \tilde{W}(z_1) z_2 \dot{I} z_2 = \varepsilon \Gamma_0(t) I^{-1}(-k_p z_{r1} - k_v z_2)$$

consider the averaged system

$$\dot{z_1} = \varepsilon \tilde{W}(z_1) z_2 \dot{I} z_2 = \varepsilon \Gamma_{0av} I^{-1}(-k_p z_{r1} - k_v z_2)$$

and use the Lyapunov function

$$V_1(z_1, z_2) = \frac{1}{2} k_p(z_{r_1}^T z_{r_1} + (z_{14} - 1)^2) + \frac{1}{2} (Iz_2)^T \Gamma_{0av}^{-1}(Iz_2).$$

Bifurcation analysis of controlled spacecraft model

POLITECNICO DI MILANO



State feedback regulation

For the projection-based control law

$$m_{coils}^{}\!=\!-S^{T}(b(t))I^{-1}(arepsilon^{2}k_{p}^{}\mathbf{q}\!+\!arepsilon k_{v}^{}\omega)$$

using averaging techniques it is possible to obtain both results, i.e.,

the system is controllable on average if

$$\lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T S(b_0(t)) S^T(b_0(t)) dt > 0$$

• there exist $\varepsilon^{F} > 0$, $k_{p} > 0$, $k_{v} > 0$, such that for any $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon^{F}$ the control law renders the equilibrium $(q, \omega) = ([0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 1]^{T}, 0)$ of the closed loop system locally exponentially stable.

• moreover, all trajectories of the closed loop system converge to the points $(q,\omega) = (\{0,0,0,1\}^T,0)$.

But which is a suitable choice for ε ?



First approach: analyze the local stability of the $(q,\omega)=([0\ 0\ 0\ 1]^T,0)$ equilibrium of the closed loop periodically forced system.

Step 1: Linearize the closed-loop system around the equilibrium $I\dot{\omega} = -S(b_0(t))S^T(b_0(t))I^{-1}(\varepsilon^2 k_p \mathbf{q} + \varepsilon k_v \omega)$ $\dot{q}_r = \frac{1}{2}\omega$ $\dot{q}_4 = 0$

Step 2: Study the stability of this linear time-periodic system through Floquet theory





Considered satellite:

 $\varepsilon = 0.001$

- Inertia matrix I=diag[27, 17, 25] Nm;
- Near polar (87° inclination) orbit with altitude of 450 km and orbit period of about 5600 s.





First approach: analyze the local stability of the $(q,\omega)=([0\ 0\ 0\ 1]^T,0)$ equilibrium of the closed loop periodically forced system.

Step 1: Linearize the closed-loop system around the equilibrium $I\dot{\omega} = -S(b_0(t))S^T(b_0(t))I^{-1}(\varepsilon^2 k_p \mathbf{q} + \varepsilon k_v \omega)$ $\dot{q_r} = \frac{1}{2}\omega$ $\dot{q_4} = 0$

Step 2: Study the stability of this linear time-periodic system through Floquet theory

What happens when local stability is lost?





CHANGE IN LOCAL STABILITY \rightarrow (LOCAL) BIFURCATION

In our case we have only an eigenvalue that becomes unstable.

This means that we can analyze what happens in a neighborhood of the bifurcation by studying a one dimensional system (center manifold theorem)



Nonlinear term analysis shows that at the bifurcation the equilibrium is unstable



System equations read:

$$\dot{\mathbf{q}} = W(\mathbf{q})\omega \qquad \qquad W(\mathbf{q}) = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} q_4 & -q_3 & q_2 \\ q_3 & q_4 & -q_1 \\ -q_2 & q_1 & q_4 \\ -q_1 & -q_2 & -q_3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$I\dot{\omega} \!=\! S(\omega)I\omega \!+\! T_{coils}^{} \!=\! S(\omega)I\omega \!+\! S(A(\mathbf{q})b_0(t))m_{coils}^{}$$

$$m_{coils}^{}\!=\!-S^{T}(b(t))I^{-1}(arepsilon^{2}k_{p}^{}\mathbf{q}\!+\!arepsilon k_{v}^{}\omega)$$

Problems for numerical continuation:

- The system is time-dependent (periodically forced)
- The system live in SO3 ($||\mathbf{q}|| = 1$)

$$egin{aligned} &I\dot{\omega}\!=\!S(\omega)I\omega\!+\!T_{coils}\!=\!S(\omega)I\omega\!+\!S(A(\mathbf{q})b_0(t))m_{coils}\ &m_{coils}^{-1}\!=\!-S^T(b(t))I^{-1}(arepsilon^2k_p\mathbf{q}\!+\!arepsilon k_v\omega) \end{aligned}$$

Problems for numerical continuation:

• The system is time-dependent (periodically forced)

$$b_0(t) = \begin{bmatrix} -1.8806 & -6.2168 & -36.0046 \\ -1.0787 & -0.4385 & -1.0345 \\ -11.7084 & 35.6763 & -6.4530 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \cos \omega t \\ \sin \omega t \end{bmatrix}$$

We can therefore substitute the periodic dependence introducing two variables that are solution of the sistem

$$\dot{cos} = cos - \omega sin - (cos^2 + sin^2)cos$$

 $\dot{sin} = \omega cos + sin - (cos^2 + sin^2)sin$

$$\dot{\mathbf{q}} = W(\mathbf{q})\omega \qquad \qquad W(\mathbf{q}) = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} q_4 & -q_3 & q_2 \\ q_3 & q_4 & -q_1 \\ -q_2 & q_1 & q_4 \\ -q_1 & -q_2 & -q_3 \end{bmatrix}$$

Problems for numerical continuation:

The system live in SO3 (||q|| = 1)
System equations are written in order to maintain q² = 1, since

$$rac{d}{dt}\,q^2(t)=2\,q\,\dot{q}\,=q\,W(q)\,\omega=0\,\omega$$

but this manifold is not attractive (there is an eigenvalue equal to 0!). We can so:

• Exploit the algebraic constrain in order to eliminate a variable

$$q_4=\pm \sqrt{1-q_1^2-q_2^2-q_3^2}$$
 ,

- Make the manifold $\mathbf{q}^2 = 1$ stable introducing a dumping $\dot{q} = W(q) \, \omega + q \, (1 - \|q\|^2)$



Try it with MatCont

Bifurcation analysis of controlled spacecraft model



Using continuation techniques it is possible to analyze the solutions born at the bifurcation, obtaining a diagram of the invariants for different values of the parameter.

Note: since the satellite moves along the orbit all the invariants (even the stationary one) are limit cycles.





ĥ

ő

ω₁ – [rad/s]

 $\omega_2 - [rad/s]$

ω₃ – [rad/s]



POLITECNICO DI MILANO

10

10



Numerical analysis results

22



Bifurcation analysis of controlled spacecraft model



Numerical analysis results

23



Bifurcation analysis of controlled spacecraft model



- A control law for magnetic attitude regulation developed in previous work has been considered
- The control law provides global convergence to the desired equilibrium if the control strength is small enough
- Local stability of the desired equilibrium has been analysed and an upper bound to the control strength has been worked out
- Nonlinear analysis has been applied to better understand the closed-loop system, finding:
 - multistability
 - a new (more restrictive) bound to ensure global stability
 - quasi-periodic and chaotic behaviors if the control strength is too large.